	Case 3:08-cv-03463-MEJ Document 8 Filed 07/25/2008 Page 2 of 2
1	also sought an order denying Fowler's request for a jury trial. Defendants' Motion to Dismiss
2	and Motion to Strike are collectively referred as "Motion."
3	Defendants' Motion was heard on September 18, 2008, before Honorable Maria-Elena
4	James in this Court.
5	Considering the parties' papers regarding Defendants' Motion and hearing oral argument,
6	Defendants' Motion is GRANTED.
7	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED as follows:
8	1. Fowler's Second Cause of Action for Equitable Relief is dismissed with prejudice
9	because it is precluded by ERISA because Fowler has an adequate available remedy under
10	ERISA for alleged wrongful termination of benefits pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 1132(a)(1)(B);
11	2. Fowler's request to recover for emotional distress and injunctive relief under her
12	First Cause of Action and Second Cause of Action are stricken because they are not recoverable
13	under ERISA as a matter of law.
14	3. Fowler's request for a jury trial is denied because it is impermissible under
15	ERISA.
16	IT IS SO ORDERED.
17 18	
19	Dated: Judge of the District Court
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	-2-
	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING DEFTENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS  [FED. R. CIV. P. 12(b)(6)] AND MOTION TO STRIKE [FED. R. CIV. P. 12(f)]  CASE NO. 3:08-CV-03463 (MEJ)